

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 05562 131608Z

46

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 CU-02 BIB-01 OES-03 EPA-01 CEQ-01

EB-07 OMB-01 OIC-02 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 NSC-05 DODE-00 COME-00 STR-04 CIEP-01 FRB-03

NSF-01 INT-05 H-02 /107 W

----- 090660

R 131525Z OCT 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3992

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 5539

AMEMBASSY BERLIN

AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST

AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PRAGUE

AMEMBASSY SOFIA

AMEMBASSY WARSAW

USMISSION OECD PARIS

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 5562

PARIS ALSO FOR NESCO

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: NATO, ECON, CSCE, ECE, UNESCO

SUBJ: ECONADS: CSCE BASKET II FOLLOW-UP

REFS: (NOTAL): (A) GENEVA 7251; (B) STATE 231043; (C) USNATO 5199,  
(D) USNATO 5510; (E) STATE 237238; (F) GENEVA 7682

1. AT OCTOBER 9 ECONADS MEETING THE CANADIAN REP (HERMAN)  
NOTED THAT ACCORDING TO WORD HE HAD RECEIVED FROM CANADIAN MISSIONS  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 05562 131608Z

TO ECE AND UNESCO THERE SEEMED TO BE LITTLE LIKELIHOOD OF CONCRETE  
MOVES TOWARD BASKET II IMPLEMENTATION IN THESE FORA WITHIN THE  
NEXT SIX MONTHS OR SO. IN THAT LIGHT, HE QUESTIONED WHETHER A  
MEETING HERE, REINFORCED FROM CAPITALS WOULD BE USEFUL IN THE NEAR

TERM. WHILE THERE WAS NO POLL, OTHER REPS (PARTICULARLY THOSE OF EC NINE) NODDED ASSENT.

2. CHAIRMAN BILLY POINTED OUT THAT THE POLADS ARE COORDINATING A REPORT TO THE NAC, IN PREPARATION TO THE DECEMBER MINISTERIAL, ON ALL ASPECTS OF CSCE IMPLEMENTATION, AND THAT THERE SHOULD BE AN ECONOMIC COMMITTEE INPUT TO THAT REPORT. USECONAD RECALLED THAT HE HAD CORCULATED COPIES OF THE SUGGESTED CHECK LIST (FROM REF B) ORIGINALLY MADE AVAILABLE BY US TO POLADS, AND REITERATED HOPE THAT OTHER ALLIES WOULD BE ABLE TO CONTRIBUTE TO REPORTING ON SOV/EE COMPLIANCE. HE ALSO NOTED THAT US LIST WAS ILLUSTRATIVE AND OTHER ALLIES MIGHT HAVE ADDITIONAL POINTS THEY WOULD WISH TO ADD.

3. CANADIAN SUPPORTED THE NEED FOR A "SNAPSHOT" OF THE PRESENT SITUATION, AND FOR CONTINUED EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ON BASKET II DEVELOPMENTS. HE RECOMMENDED, AND COMMITTEE AGREED, THAT HENCEFORTH THERE BE A REGULAR ITEM ON THE WEEKLY AGENDA UNDER WHICH MEMBERS COULD SUBMIT SUCH INFORMATION.

4. CHAIRMAN DREW CONCLUSION THAT THE ECONOMIC DIRECTORATE WOULD, BY MID-NOVEMBER, DRAFT A REPORT ON CSCE BASKET II DEVELOPMENTS, WHICH WOULD BE SCHUEDULED FOR COMMITTEE DISCUSSION AND APPROVAL, AFTER WHICH IT WOULD BE FORWARDED TO POLADS FOR INCLUSION IN THEIR OVERALL REPORT TO THE NAC.

5. WHILE THE MATTER SEEMED TO HAVE BEEN OVERTAKEN FOR THE MOMENT, USECONAD CONFIRMED PER REFS E AND F THAT US WAS PREPARED TO RESUME PERIODIC CONSILTATIONS IN THE ECONOMIC COMMITTEE WITH ALLIED ECE REPS. FRENCH REP WAS ABSENT FROM MEETING, BUT BELGIAN (DE BROUWERE) EXPRESSED SATISFACTION. NO OTHER REPS TOOK THE MATTER FURTHER.

6. WE ARE TRANSMITTING BY AIRGRAM COPIES OF A GERMAN FOREIGN OFFICE STUDY, "ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS OF THE CSCE", WHICH THE GERMAN ECONAD RECENTLY CIRCULATED. ALTHOUGH MORE THEOLOGICALLY THAN OPERATIONALLY ORIENTED, IT WILL GIVE A CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 05562 131608Z

USEFUL INSIGHT INTO GERMAN THINKING ON THIS TOPIC.

7. ON OCTOBER 13 ASSISTANT UK ECONAD, MCKINLEY, INFORMED US FCO WAS CONCERNED THAT NO EXPERTS MEETING ON CSCE BASKET II WOULD TAKE PLACE SOON. LONDON BELIEVED IT WAS HIGHLY DESIRABLE THAT POLICY EXPERTS FROM CAPITALS MEET, PREFERABLY NO LATER THAN MID-NOVEMBER, TO DISCUSS AND SET FUIDELINES FOR FURTHER ECONAD FOLLOW-UP ACTIVITIES OVER THE LONG TERM. WE NOTED USUAL CONSTRAINTS FOR PARTICIPATION BY WASHINGTON OFFICIALS ON RELATIVELY SHORT NOTICE, BUT SAID WE WOULD INFORM DEPARTMENT OF BRITISH VIEW. WE ALSO INDICATED WE HAD RECEIVED SAME IMPRESSION AS CANADIANS, I.E., THAT EC NONE POSITION ON CSCE IMPLEMENTATION AS APPARENTLY INDICATED AT ECE AND UNESCO, DID NOT AUGUR WELL

FOR EARLY MEETING OF NATURE UK SOUGHT. AFTER MCKINLEY SAID IT WAS LONDON'S IMPRESSION THAT THE EC ROME MEETING ON CSCE (CF. DUBLIN 1863) HAD BEEN A PRODUCTIVE ONE, WE URGED THAT UK MAKE AVAILABLE TO US, PREFERABLY BOTH HERE AND ALSO IN WASHINGTON, FULLER DETAILS ON THAT MEETING SINCE THIS WOULD ASSIST IN FORMILATION OF US REACTION TO UK DESIRE FOR EXPERTS MEETING.

8. ACTION REQUESTED: GUIDANCE FOR RESPONSE TO UK APPROACH IN PARA 7, REF E NOTWITHSTANDING..STREATOR

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 18 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 13 OCT 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** ellisoob  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NATO005562  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 GDS  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197510101/abbrzmmm.tel  
**Line Count:** 121  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** (NOTAL): (A) GENEVA 7251; (B) STATE 231043; (C) USNATO 5199, (D) USNATO 5510; (E) STATE 237238; (F) GENEVA 7682  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** ellisoob  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 24 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <24 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <29 SEP 2003 by ellisoob>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** ECONADS: CSCE BASKET II FOLLOW-UP  
**TAGS:** NATO, ECON, CSCE, ECE, UNESCO  
**To:** STATE INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS  
BERLIN  
BUCHAREST  
BUDAPEST  
MOSCOW  
PRAGUE

SOFIA  
WARSAW  
OECD PARIS  
GENEVA  
EC BRUSSELS

**Type:** TE

**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006